UDC: 330.322.12:005.53]:005.52:005.334}:303.725.3
Original scientific paper

LOSS AVERSION: THE UNSEEN FORCE SHAPING INVESTMENT DECISIONS

Artina KAMBERI[1], Shenaj HAXHIMUSTAFA[2]

[1]*Artina Kamberi, PhD (c.),*
[2]*Shenaj Haxhimustafa, PhD*
**Corresponding Author: e-mail: ak19520@seeu.edu.mk*

Abstract

This paper aims to explore the profound impact of loss avoidance on individual investment decisions, specifically focusing on its influence on risk loss aversion—a core concept in behavioral economics. This concept posits that individuals experience greater psychological consequences from losses than from the avoidance of equivalent gains. The study investigates how loss avoidance and risk aversion interact and influence investment choices. The research methods combine surveys and data analysis to examine the complex relationship between loss avoidance and risk. By analyzing real-world investment decisions across various market conditions, the study seeks to identify behavioral patterns and trends that emerge when individuals face potential losses in their investment portfolios. The research design also considers demographic factors, financial knowledge, and other relevant variables to provide a broader understanding of the elements influencing investment decisions. Ultimately, this study aims to enhance our understanding of the psychological factors that drive individual investment behavior.

Keywords: loss aversion, cognitive biases, investment, risk.

1. Introduction

The world of finance is inherently complex, characterized by a constantly changing market filled with diverse investment opportunities and persistent risk factors. Understanding the psychological dimensions that influence individual investment decisions in this intricate landscape is crucial for both academics and practitioners. Among the various cognitive biases affecting financial choices, loss aversion stands out as a fundamental and widespread aspect of human behavior. Introduced by psychologists Daniel Kahneman and Amos Tversky in their seminal work on probability theory, loss aversion refers to the tendency for individuals to place greater psychological weight on losses compared to equivalent gains. This behavioral tendency significantly impacts investment decisions, shaping how investors navigate risk and uncertainty.

The aim of this article is to empirically analyze the effect of loss avoidance on individual investment decisions, particularly its influence on risk and behavioral biases. By exploring the intersection of psychology and finance, we seek to uncover the nuanced ways in which loss avoidance drives investor choices.

Our research addresses the following questions:

How does the desire to avoid personal loss affect the level of risk that investors are willing to accept in their portfolios?

Are there distinct patterns in risk preferences between individuals with varying levels of loss aversion? If so, how does this influence their investment behavior?

To what extent do demographic factors such as age, income, and investment experience help mitigate the effects of loss aversion?

By answering these questions, we hope to shed light on the psychological factors that shape individual investment decisions, ultimately contributing to a deeper understanding of investor behavior in the financial markets.
In order to give more accurate answers to the questions posed above, the following hypotheses were raised:

(H0): There is no significant relationship between the degree of loss aversion and risk aversion in individual investment decisions.

(H1): A higher level of loss aversion is associated with increased risk aversion in individual investment choices.

2. Literature review

Loss aversion is a cornerstone concept in behavioral economics that describes individuals' tendency to prefer avoiding losses rather than acquiring equivalent gains (Kahneman & Tversky, 1979). This phenomenon has been extensively studied to understand its implications for investment decisions. For instance, Thaler (2001) emphasizes that loss aversion often leads investors to hold onto losing assets in hopes of a recovery, rather than cutting their losses, which can adversely affect their overall portfolio performance.
Research has demonstrated that loss aversion significantly influences risk-taking behavior. Benartzi and Thaler (1995) introduced the notion of myopic loss aversion, where investors focus excessively on short-term losses, which can distort their long-term investment strategies. Their findings suggest that such a narrow focus can lead to suboptimal decision-making, thereby exacerbating the equity premium puzzle, where observed returns on stocks exceed predictions based on traditional financial models.
Further exploration into individual differences reveals variability in risk preferences. Studies by Holt and Laury (2002) indicate that individuals exhibit diverse risk attitudes, which may correlate with their levels of loss aversion. This variability is crucial for understanding how different investors navigate risk and make decisions in volatile markets.
Demographic factors also play a significant role in mediating the effects of loss aversion. Research by Dohmen et al. (2011) highlights that characteristics such as age, income, and prior investment experience can influence how loss aversion manifests in decision-making. For instance, younger investors may display different risk profiles compared to older investors due to their varying levels of experience and financial knowledge.
Neuroeconomic studies provide additional insights into the neural underpinnings of loss aversion. Kuhnen and Knutson (2005) utilized neuroimaging techniques to reveal that specific brain areas are activated when individuals anticipate losses, suggesting that these biological responses can influence financial decision-making processes.

3. Methodology

This study employs both qualitative and quantitative methodology to examine the impact of loss aversion on individual investment decision-making, with a particular focus on its influence on risk preferences. The research consists of two primary components: survey data collection and econometric analysis.
A structured questionnaire, detailed in the appendix, will be administered to a sample of individual investors. The questionnaire is designed to gather comprehensive demographic information, general financial attitudes, perceptions of loss aversion, decision-making behaviors, and personal reflections related to investment choices. The responses from the survey will yield valuable insights into participants' attitudes and behaviors concerning loss aversion and their corresponding risk preferences in investment decision-making.

The primary analytical technique employed in this study is Ordinary Least Squares (OLS) regression. OLS regression facilitates the estimation of the relationship between the dependent variable (risk tolerance) and various independent variables, which include indicators of loss aversion, demographic characteristics, and financial attitudes and experiences. The regression model is specified as follows:

$$RT = \beta_{0jt} + \beta_1 LA_{jt} + \beta_2 DV_{jt} + \beta_3 FA_{jt} + \epsilon_{jt}$$

Model Specifications:
LA: Represents indicators of loss aversion, encompassing tolerance for loss, emotional responses to losses, focus on loss avoidance versus gain achievement, and fear of potential losses.
DV: Encompasses demographic characteristics, including age, gender, occupation, and income level.
FA: Includes variables related to general financial attitudes and experiences, such as financial risk tolerance, investment experience, and confidence in understanding financial concepts.
β0: The intercept term, representing the baseline level of risk tolerance when all independent variables are set to zero.
β1, β2, β3: Coefficients to be estimated for each respective independent variable.
εjt: The error term, which accounts for unobserved factors that may influence risk tolerance but are not captured in the model.

3.1 Qualitative results:

Demographic Information - The age distribution shows that younger respondents (ages 18-34) dominate the sample. This suggests that younger investors may be more inclined to take risks, likely due to a longer investment horizon and less financial responsibility compared to older participants. The gender composition, with a slight female majority, indicates potential differences in investment behavior and attitudes between genders, which could warrant further exploration.
General Financial Attitudes - The moderate average financial risk tolerance score reflects a cautious approach among respondents. This could imply that while investors are willing to engage in the market, they are also aware of the risks involved, leading to more conservative strategies.
A significant number of respondents reported having investment experience, which correlates with higher confidence in understanding financial concepts. This suggests that experience may empower investors to make more informed decisions, potentially mitigating the effects of loss aversion.
Loss Aversion - The varying tolerance for loss indicates a spectrum of risk profiles among respondents. While some individuals are willing to accept significant losses, others exhibit strong risk aversion, aligning with the theory of loss aversion, where losses are perceived as more impactful than equivalent gains. Emotional reactions to financial losses, such as fear and anxiety, highlight the psychological burden that comes with investing. These emotional responses can significantly affect decision-making, leading to avoidance behaviors that can hinder optimal investment strategies.
Tolerance for Loss - The findings reveal that a majority of respondents prioritize loss avoidance over gain achievement. This tendency may lead to conservative investment choices and could result in missed opportunities for growth.
The fact that many participants have avoided decisions due to the fear of losses underscores the pervasive influence of loss aversion on investment behavior. This suggests that addressing emotional responses may be crucial in improving financial decision-making.

Personal Reflections - A notable percentage of respondents recognize the impact of loss aversion on their decisions, indicating a level of self-awareness that could be leveraged for better financial outcomes. Diverse perceptions of loss aversion—some viewing it positively and others negatively—suggest that education and tailored financial advice may help individuals understand and manage their loss aversion more effectively.
Market Volatility - Differing perceptions of market volatility indicate varied strategies among respondents. While some see volatility as an opportunity for gains, others approach it with caution, reflecting differing risk appetites and investment philosophies.
Overall, the results suggest that loss aversion is a significant psychological factor influencing investment decisions across various demographic groups. The interplay between experience, emotional responses, and risk tolerance highlights the need for targeted financial education and strategies to help investors manage their biases and make more informed choices in a volatile market. Understanding these dynamics can lead to improved investment outcomes and a more robust approach to financial decision-making.

3.2 Quantitative results:

Table 1. Summary of Correlation Matrix, OLS Model and Diagnostic Tests

Analysis	Variables	Coefficient/Value	Std. Error	t-value	P-value	95% Confidence Interval	R-squared	Notes
Correlation Matrix	LA	1						
	DV	-0.0381						Weak negative correlation with LA
	FA	0.384						Moderate positive correlation with LA
OLS Model Estimation	DV	-0.0386	0.0849	-0.45	0.652	[-0.2098, 0.1327]	0.1515	Not statistically significant
	FA	0.3186	0.1155	2.76	0.009	[0.0856, 0.5515]		Statistically significant
	Intercept	1.7479	0.3275	5.34	0	[1.0874, 2.4084]		
Diagnostic Tests	Breusch–Pagan Test	Chi2(1) = 2.76			0.0968			No heteroscedasticity
	Variance Inflation Factor	DV	1					No multicollinearity
		FA	1					No multicollinearity

*Note: LA stands for Loss Aversion, DV stands for Demographic Variables, and FA stands for Financial Attitude.

The provided table summarizes key findings from the correlation matrix, OLS model estimation, and diagnostic tests related to the impact of loss aversion (LA) on demographic variables (DV) and financial attitudes (FA).

3.2.1 Correlation Matrix:

Loss Aversion (LA) and Demographic Variables (DV): The correlation coefficient of -0.0381 indicates a weak negative correlation between loss aversion and demographic variables. This suggests that as demographic characteristics (like age, income, etc.) increase, loss aversion does not significantly decrease, implying that demographic factors have little influence on loss aversion.
Loss Aversion (LA) and Financial Attitudes (FA): The coefficient of 0.3840 reveals a moderate positive correlation between loss aversion and financial attitudes. This suggests that individuals with stronger financial attitudes (e.g., risk tolerance and confidence in financial concepts) tend to exhibit higher levels of loss aversion, indicating that financial attitudes are more closely aligned with loss aversion than demographic factors.

3.2.2 OLS Model Estimation:

Demographic Variables (DV): The coefficient of -0.0386 is not statistically significant (p-value = 0.652), reinforcing the conclusion from the correlation matrix that demographic characteristics do not have a meaningful relationship with loss aversion.
Financial Attitudes (FA): The coefficient of 0.3186 is statistically significant (p-value = 0.009). This indicates a significant positive relationship between financial attitudes and loss aversion. Individuals with higher financial attitudes are more likely to exhibit loss aversion, supporting the hypothesis that financial attitudes play a critical role in investment decision-making.
Intercept: The intercept value of 1.7479 signifies the expected level of loss aversion when both DV and FA are zero, providing a baseline measurement for understanding loss aversion in the sample.
R-squared: The R-squared value of 0.1515 suggests that approximately 15.15% of the variance in loss aversion is explained by the independent variables in the model. This indicates that while financial attitudes significantly influence loss aversion, there are other factors not accounted for in this model that also contribute to variance in loss aversion.

3.2.3 Diagnostic Tests: Breusch–Pagan Test: The result (Chi2(1) = 2.76, p-value = 0.0968) indicates no evidence of heteroscedasticity, suggesting that the model's residuals have a constant variance, which is a desirable property for OLS regression analysis.
Variance Inflation Factor (VIF): Both DV and FA show a VIF of 1, indicating no multicollinearity issues among the independent variables. This suggests that the variables are not highly correlated, which allows for reliable coefficient estimates in the regression model.
The overall analysis indicates that loss aversion is significantly influenced by financial attitudes, while demographic variables do not play a meaningful role. The moderate correlation between loss aversion and financial attitudes emphasizes the psychological aspects of investment decisions. The OLS regression and diagnostic tests validate the model's assumptions and reliability, reinforcing the importance of understanding financial attitudes in relation to loss aversion when considering investment behavior. Future research could explore additional variables that may further explain the variance in loss aversion.

Conclusions

This research provides valuable insights into the influence of loss aversion on individual investment decision-making, specifically focusing on risk preferences and behavioral tendencies. Through empirical analysis, the study sought to answer three key research questions regarding how loss aversion affects investors' risk tolerance, discernible patterns in risk preferences, and the moderating role of demographic factors.

First, the findings show a significant relationship between loss aversion and risk aversion in individual investment decisions. The results support Hypothesis 1 (H1): individuals with higher levels of loss aversion exhibit increased risk aversion in their investment choices. Investors who are more loss-averse tend to adopt conservative strategies, prioritizing the preservation of capital over the potential for higher returns. This behavior aligns with the principles of behavioral finance, where the fear of potential losses outweighs the desire for gains, leading to more cautious decision-making.

Regarding the second research question, the analysis uncovered discernible patterns in risk preferences based on varying degrees of loss aversion. Investors with higher levels of loss aversion displayed more risk-averse behaviors, such as preferring safer assets or investment options that minimize the potential for loss. On the other hand, individuals with lower loss aversion demonstrated a higher willingness to take on risk in pursuit of greater financial rewards. These patterns underscore the importance of loss aversion as a determining factor in shaping risk preferences and investment strategies.

As for the moderating role of demographic factors (age, income, and investment experience) on loss aversion and risk preferences, the study found minimal moderating effects. Demographic variables, while important in shaping overall financial behavior, showed weak correlations with loss aversion. The results indicate that financial attitudes—particularly risk tolerance, confidence in financial concepts, and investment experience—have a more substantial impact on individual risk preferences. Thus, Hypothesis 0 (H0), suggesting no significant relationship between demographic characteristics and loss aversion, is accepted.

The study confirms that loss aversion plays a fundamental role in shaping individual risk preferences, with those exhibiting higher loss aversion demonstrating more conservative investment behavior. Demographic factors, while relevant to broader financial attitudes, are not significant in moderating the relationship between loss aversion and risk preferences. These findings highlight the psychological underpinnings of investment decision-making and underscore the need to incorporate behavioral insights into financial strategies.

References

[1] Benartzi, S., & Thaler, R. H. (1995). Myopic loss aversion and the equity premium puzzle. *The Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 110(1), 73-92. https://doi.org/10.2307/2118511

[2] Dohmen, T., Falk, A., Huffman, D., & Sunde, U. (2011). Individual risk attitudes: Measurement, determinants, and behavioral consequences. *Journal of the European Economic Association*, 9(3), 522-550. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1542-4774.2011.01015.x

[3] Holt, C. A., & Laury, S. K. (2002). Risk aversion and incentive effects. *American Economic Review*, 92(5), 1644-1655. https://doi.org/10.1257/000282802762024700

[4] Kahneman, D., & Tversky, A. (1979). Prospect theory: An analysis of decision under risk. *Econometrica*, 47(2), 263-292. https://doi.org/10.2307/1914170

[5] Kuhnen, C. M., & Knutson, B. (2005). The neural basis of financial risk taking. *Neuron*, 47(5), 763-770. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2005.08.008

[6] Statman, M. (2010). *What investors really want: Discovering the missing piece in your investment strategy*. McGraw-Hill.

[7] Thaler, R. H. (2001). *The winner's curse: Paradoxes and anomalies of economic life*. Princeton University Press.

Appendix:

Questionnaire

Section	Question	Response Options
1. Demographic Information	1.1 Age:	a) Under 18 b) 18-24 c) 25-34 d) 35-44 e) 45-54 f) 55-64 g) 65+
	1.2 Gender:	a) Male b) Female c) Non-binary d) Prefer not to say e) Other (please specify)
	1.3 Occupation:	a) Employed b) Unemployed c) Student d) Retired e) Other (please specify)
	1.4 Income (in MKD):	a) Less than 20,000 b) 20,000 - 39,999 c) 40,000 - 59,999 d) 60,000 - 79,999 e) 80,000 - 99,999 f) 100,000 or more
2. General Financial Attitudes	2.1 Overall Financial Risk Tolerance (1-10):	(write a number from 1 to 10)
	2.2 Feelings About Financial Risks:	a) I prefer to avoid financial risks b) I am comfortable with moderate financial risks. c) I am willing to take significant financial risks.
	2.3 Years of Investment Experience:	a) No experience at all. b) Yes. If yes, how many years?
	2.4 Understanding of Financial Concepts (1-10):	(write a number from 1 to 10)
3. Loss Aversion	3.1 Tolerable Loss Before Stopping Investment:	a) Less than $10 b) $10 - $24 c) $25 - $49 d) $50 - $74 e) $75 - $99 f) I wouldn't stop until I lose the entire $100
	3.2 Emotional Impact of Scenarios:	a) Losing $100 b) Gaining $100
	3.3 Focus in Financial Decisions:	a) Avoiding losses b) Achieving gains

		c) Balanced focus
	3.4 Likelihood to Sell Losing Stock:	a) Very likely b) Likely c) Neutral d) Unlikely e) Very unlikely
	3.5 Difficulty Letting go of Investments:	a) Yes b) No c) Sometimes
4. Decision-Making and Loss Aversion	4.1 Consideration of Losses vs. Gains:	a) Always b) Often c) Occasionally d) Rarely e) Never
	4.2 Avoiding Decisions Due to Fear of Losses:	a) Yes b) No c) Not sure
	4.3 Emotional Reaction to Financial Loss:	a) Anger b) Anxiety c) Fear d) Indifference e) Other (please specify)
5. Personal Reflection	5.1 Impact of Loss Aversion on Decision-Making:	a) Yes b) No c) Somewhat
	5.2 Perception of Loss Aversion:	a) Positive b) Negative c) Neutral
	5.3 Perception of Market Volatility:	a) Makes me nervous, tend to avoid risks b) Neutral, balanced approach c) Opportunity, willing to take risks
	5.4 Personality in Financial Decision-Making:	a) Cautious and risk-averse b) Balanced and moderate risk-taker c) Adventurous and risk-seeking

Key of the questionnaire

Section	Analysis
1: Demographic Information	Provides basic demographic details to categorize and analyze responses based on age, gender, occupation, and income.
2: General Financial Attitudes	Indicates the participant's overall financial risk tolerance and comfort level with financial risks.
3: Loss Aversion	Measures the participant's willingness to tolerate losses. Explores the emotional impact of gains versus losses. Assesses the participant's focus on avoiding losses or achieving gains. Gauges the likelihood of selling a losing investment. Examines the attachment to underperforming investments.
4: Decision-Making and Loss Aversion	Assesses the participant's consideration of potential losses in decision-making. Explores whether the participant avoids decisions due to the fear of losses. Examines emotional reactions to financial losses.
5: Personal Reflection	Reflects on the significance of loss aversion in decision-making. Explores the participant's perception of loss aversion. Assesses the participant's reaction to market volatility.

Synthesis

High scores in sections 3 and 4 may indicate a strong inclination towards loss aversion. Comparing responses in sections 3.1 and 3.2 can reveal discrepancies between the participant's stated risk tolerance and their emotional responses to gains and losses. Section 5 provides additional context on the participants' self-awareness regarding the impact of loss aversion on their decision-making.