

## A NOTE ON A RESULT ABOUT SKEW BINORMAL OPERATORS

Krutan Rasimi<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1\*</sup> Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Natural Sciences and Mathematics, University of Tetova

\*Corresponding author e-mail: [krutan.rasimi@unite.edu.mk](mailto:krutan.rasimi@unite.edu.mk)

---

### Abstract

In this paper we will give some counterexamples that will show that class of binormal operators and skew binormal operators are independent. Even more, that the class of  $n$  – binormal operators and skew  $n$  – binormal operators are independent. This would be used to achieve our aim to shown that a result in [4] is not correct.

**Mathematics subject classification** 47B20

**Keywords:** binormal,  $n$  – binormal, skew binormal, skew  $n$  – binormal

---

### 1. Introduction

Throughout this paper  $H$  it is a Hilbert space and  $B(H)$  is the algebra of all bounded linear operators acting on  $H$ . If  $T \in B(H)$ , then with  $T^* \in B(H)$  we denote the adjoint of  $T$ . Operator  $T \in B(H)$  is binormal if  $T^*T$  commute with  $TT^*$ , that is if  $(T^*T)(TT^*) = (TT^*)(T^*T)$ . About class of binormal operators the reader can see [1]. On definition and some properties of skew binormal operators can see [2]. The class of skew  $n$  – binormal operators was introduced in [4]. The formal definitions of operators mentioned above are given bellow.

**Definition 1.1.** [1] An operator  $T \in B(H)$  is binormal if  $(T^*T)(TT^*) = (TT^*)(T^*T)$ .

**Definition 1.2.** [2] An operator  $T$  is skew binormal operator if  $(T^*TTT^*)T = T(TT^*T^*T)$ .

**Definition 1.3.** [3] An operator  $T$  is  $n$  – binormal operator if satisfy  $T^*T^nT^nT^* = T^nT^*T^*T^n$ . We denote this class with  $[nBN]$ .

**Definition 1.4.** [4] An operator  $T$  is skew  $n$  – binormal if  $[T^*T^nT^nT^*]T = T[T^nT^*T^*T^n]$ . We denote this class with  $[snBN]$ .

## 2. Main result

In article [2], in Theorem 2.2. authors claim that if an operator is binormal, then it is a skew-binormal one. In this point, we have some counterexamples that deny this claim. In fact, an operator can be binormal, but doesn't need to be a skew-binormal operator as shown example bellow.

**Example 2.1.** The first part of this example is in [4]. Let

$$T = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then,  $T$  is a binormal operator. Indeed, we can calculate to find that

$$T^*TTT^* = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 4 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix} = TT^*T^*T.$$

But, on other side we find that

$$(T^*TTT^*)T = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 2 \\ 4 & 4 & 0 \\ 2 & -2 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \neq \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 2 \\ 2 & 4 & 0 \\ 2 & -4 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = T(TT^*T^*T)$$

which prove that such an operator is not skew binormal one.

It is not the only one operator (matrix) with this property. Every matrix, real or complex, let denote by

$$T = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & a \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

is binormal, but not skew binormal. Indeed, calculating, with

$$T^* = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 \\ \bar{a} & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

we find that

$$T^*TTT^* = \begin{bmatrix} 2|a|^2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 4 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2|a|^2 \end{bmatrix} = TT^*T^*T$$

which proves binormality. On the other hand we have

$$(T^*TTT^*)T = \begin{bmatrix} 2|a|^2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 4 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2|a|^2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & a \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 2a|a|^2 \\ 4 & 4 & 0 \\ 2|a|^2 & -2|a|^2 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

and

$$T(TT^*T^*T) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & a \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 2|a|^2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 4 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2|a|^2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 2a|a|^2 \\ 2|a|^2 & 4 & 0 \\ 2|a|^2 & -4 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

It is clear that, in general,  $(T^*TTT^*)T \neq T(TT^*T^*T)$  which proves that such a matrix is not skew binormal one.

**Example 2.2.** The first part of this examples is in [4]. An operator can be skew binormal (skew  $n$ -binormal), but need not to be binormal ( $n$ -binormal). The matrix

$$T = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

is not 2-binormal because

$$T^*T^2T^2T^* = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \neq \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = T^2T^*T^*T^2,$$

but is it a skew 2-binormal operator as shown this calculating

$$(T^*T^2T^2T^*)T = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

and

$$T(T^2T^*T^*T^2) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

That is  $(T^*T^2T^2T^*)T = T(T^2T^*T^*T^2)$ .

Taking a family of matrix (real or complex) represented by  $T = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & a \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ , we can easily see that

$T$  is not 2-binormal operator, because

$$T^*T^2T^2T^* = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ \bar{a} & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ \bar{a} & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & \bar{a} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \bar{a} & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

and

$$T^2T^*T^*T^2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ \bar{a} & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ \bar{a} & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \bar{a} & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & \bar{a} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

On the other hand we find that

$$(T^*T^2T^2T^*)T = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & a \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \text{ and}$$

$$T(T^2T^*T^*T^2) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & a \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \text{ no matter what the value of } a \text{ is, which means}$$

skew 2-binormality.

We resume that, the condition at Theorem 2.2. [2] is weak to guarantee the skew binormality, and must be improved.

Our conclusions can be formulated in following propositions.

**Proposition 2.3.** *The class of binormal operators and skew binormal operators are independent.*

**Proposition 2.4.** *The class of  $n$ -binormal operators,  $[nBN]$ , and skew  $n$ -binormal operators,  $[snBN]$ , are independent.*

## References

- [1]. Campbell, S.L, (1972) Linear operators for which  $T^*T$  and  $TT^*$  commute, *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.*, 341, 74 – 80.
- [2]. Meenambika, K., Seshaiyah C.V, & Sivamani, N., (2018) Skew binormal operators acting on a Hilbert space, *IOP Conf. Series: Journal of Physics: Conf. Series* 1139, 012056 doi:10.1088/1742-6596/1139/1/102056.
- [3]. Panayappan, S., & Sivamani, N., (2012) On  $n$ -binormal Operators, *Gen. Math. Notes*, Vol. 10, No.2, 1 – 8.
- [4]. Rasimi, K., Seferi, Y., Ibraimi, A., & Sadiki, F., (2019). Skew  $n$ -Binormal Operators, *International Journal of Mathematical Analysis*, Vol. 13, No. 11, 513 – 517.